



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,719	12/30/2003	Nicholas Graham Niell	RJENK38.001AUS	2147
20995	7590	01/17/2008	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			BELANI, KISHIN G	
2040 MAIN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			2143	
IRVINE, CA 92614				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/17/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/748,719	NIELL ET AL.
	Examiner Kishin G. Belani	Art Unit 2143

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kishin G. Belani. (3) Attorney John Carson (Reg. # 34303).
 (2) Cort. Wetherald (Applicants' Patent Scientist). (4) Hugh Dunlop & Chris Owen.

Date of Interview: 11 January 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1,19 and 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: Naden & Griffiths.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The participants (Tel. # 619-687-8698) discussed the proposed amendments to independent claims 1, 19 and 41 after non-final rejection, explaining how these amendments differed from the cited references. The examiner listened and agreed to carefully consider the proposed amendments (e.g. flow of content from a remote unit to a media server and database storage capability on the mobile computing device) while searching for new art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Kishin G. Belani
 Examiner's signature, if required